

SCOPED PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT 20421 113 AVENUE NW EDMONTON

ALBERTA

Project Number: 2189

© Copyright

This report is Copyright Protected, and is not to be reproduced in part or whole or used by a third party without the express written permission of Anderson Environmental Inc.

Office 205, 259 Midpark Way SE Calgary, AB T2X 1M2 Phone 403 808 1826 Email:jason@andenviro.ca <u>www.andenviro.ca</u> BN 83796 6787



Version 1

Version	Date d	rafted	Drafted by
1	01/05/2024		Jason Anderson
Version	Date re	viewed	Reviewed by
1	07/05/2024		Jason Anderson
· · · ·			
Approved by		Date	
Jason Anderson (Director)		07/05/2024	

Executive Summary

Introduction

Anderson Environmental was originally engaged to conduct a Phase 1 ESA at 20421 113 Avenue, NW Edmonton. This led to a Phase II ESA recommendation due to the use of the site for many years as a storage yard with aerial photos indicating storage of various vehicles and equipment since at least 2004.

This limited Phase II ESA was completed in general accordance with the CSA document *Phase II* Environmental Site Assessment Z769-00 (R2018) (CSA, 2000) and Alberta Tier1 Soil and Groundwater Remediation Guidelines (January 1, 2023).

Methodology

The subject property was examined on the 16^{th} April 2024. The drilling and sampling was undertaken by Jason Anderson (P.Ag). The work attempted to get a reasonably even spread of boreholes across the site with 1 of the 8 boreholes being drilled to be a groundwater monitoring well. Drilling was undertaken by Anderson Environmental Inc using solid flight augers. All boreholes were drilled to 5 feet in depth except the monitoring well which was drilled to 25 feet. Soil samples were taken from 0.0-0.5m below ground level due to the type of site and the potential risks of near surface contamination from its previous use as a storage yard for vehicles and other equipment.

The soil was inspected at regular intervals for any staining or foreign materials.

Samples were submitted to Kaizen Laboratories for analysis for Alberta Tier 1 Metals and BTEX (F1-F4) along with grain size. All samples were collected using laboratory supplied sampling jars, and coolers with ice supplied by Kaizen laboratories. Standard decontamination procedures were undertaken between the collection of each sample.

Results

The analysis indicated that the analytes tested were in excess of the (Fine) Alberta Tier1 Soil and Groundwater Remediation Guidelines (January 1, 2023) as below;

- S3 Benzene 0.055mg/kg (limit 0.046mg/kg), Ethylbenzene 0.088mg/kg (limit 0.073mg/kg);
- S5 Total Xylenes 2.56mg/kg (limit 0.99mg/kg), F2 (C10-C16) 4920mg/kg (limit 260mg/kg), F3 (C16-C34) 36000mg/kg (limit 2500mg/kg), F4 (C34-C50) 99400mg/kg (Limit 6600mg/kg);
- S8 Toluene 0.523mg/kg (limit 0.52mg/kg), Ethylbenzene 0.110mg/kg (limit 0.073mg/kg).

The groundwater monitoring well was drilled to 25 feet however despite moist soil no groundwater recharged into the well. As such a groundwater sample could not be obtained.

Conclusion and recommendations

This scoped Phase II ESA conducted in accordance with the *Canadian Standards Association* (*CSA*) *Standard Z768-01:2003* (reaffirmed 2012) and *Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Z769-00* (*R2018*) (*CSA*, 2000) and Alberta Tier1 Soil and Groundwater Remediation Guidelines (*January 1, 2023*) <u>did detect</u> levels of contamination in exceedance of the Tier 1 guidelines. Additional delineation laterally and vertically will be required to determine the full extent and to guide the remediation of the site.

These results indicate contamination of the site at these locations by hydrocarbons potentially from spillage of oil and gasoline/diesel. Based on the staining on the surface which is present in some locations this is the most likely hypothesis. The soils were clayish material with the clay becoming more plastic with depth. The clay would likely form a confining layer to the movement of hydrocarbons and no staining was encountered in any of the boreholes beyond approximately 0.5m bgl. Soil samples were taken as a composite sample of material from 0.0-0.5m bgl.

The site will require further delineation and removal of the contaminated material. This would be best undertaken with an excavator with confirmation sampling to ensure all the material has been removed. Visual staining is present however it is recommended that an excavator be used to dig trenches in a grid fashion to determine any further contamination for removal. Validation sampling to ensure removal and landfill classification laboratory testing would be required. It is likely that any contaminated material from hydrocarbons is only to a depth of approximately 0.5 metres.

Certification

I certify that this report has been undertaken according to CSA Z768-01 (2012), Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Z769-00 (R2018) (CSA, 2000) and Alberta Tier1 Soil and Groundwater Remediation Guidelines (January 10, 2019) and that report was undertaken without bias and the findings would be the same regardless of the client or their objectives and is an entirely independent report based solely on the site conditions and background information available at the time of the assessment.

Yours Sincerely

Jason Anderson

Jason Anderson P.Ag #11938 (B.App.Sc – 1992 - University of New England) AESAC National Certification Program (RESA 17260) 7th May 2024



Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	2
	1.1 BACKGROUND	2
	1.2 AIM OF THE PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT	2
	1.3 STANDARDS AND METHODS	2
	1.4 Scope of Work	2
	1.5 QUALIFICATIONS OF PERSONNEL	3
2	SUBJECT PROPERTY CHARACTERISTICS	4
	2.1 SUBJECT PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	4
4.	RESULTS	5
5.	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS	6
6.	LIMITATIONS OF LIABILITY	7
7.	REFERENCES	8
8.	APPENDIX A: SUBJECT PROPERTY PHOTOGRAPHS	9
9.	APPENDIX B: INSURANCES1	10
1(. APPENDIX C: BOREHOLE LOGS 1	16
11	. APPENDIX D: DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS 1	17
12	. SOILS ANALYSIS RESULTS 1	18

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Anderson Environmental was originally engaged to conduct a Phase 1 ESA at 20421 113 Avenue, NW Edmonton. This led to a Phase II ESA recommendation due to the use of the site for many years as a storage yard with aerial photos indicating storage of various vehicles and equipment since at least 2004.

This limited Phase II ESA was completed in general accordance with the CSA document *Phase II* Environmental Site Assessment Z769-00 (R2018) (CSA, 2000) and Alberta Tier1 Soil and Groundwater Remediation Guidelines (January 1, 2023).

This limited Phase II ESA was completed in general accordance with the CSA document *Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Z769-00 (R2018) (CSA, 2000) and Alberta Tier1 Soil and Groundwater Remediation Guidelines (January 1, 2023).*

1.2 Aim of the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment

The aim of this investigation was to determine if evidence of actual or potential environmental concerns exist which could impact on the subject property. The Phase II ESA was undertaken as a result of the potential risks found in the Phase 1 ESA.

1.3 Standards and Methods

The subject property was examined on the 16^{th} April 2024. The drilling and sampling was undertaken by Jason Anderson (P.Ag). The work attempted to get a reasonably even spread of boreholes across the site with 1 of the 8 boreholes being drilled to be a groundwater monitoring well. Drilling was undertaken by Anderson Environmental Inc using solid flight augers. All boreholes were drilled to 5 feet in depth except the monitoring well which was drilled to 25 feet. Soil samples were taken from 0.0 - 0.5m below ground level due to the type of site and the potential risks of near surface contamination from its previous use as a storage yard for vehicles and other equipment.

The soil was inspected at regular intervals for any staining or foreign materials.

Samples were submitted to Kaizen Laboratories for analysis for Alberta Tier 1 Metals and BTEX (F1-F4) along with grain size. All samples were collected using laboratory supplied sampling jars, and coolers with ice supplied by Kaizen laboratories. Standard decontamination procedures were undertaken between the collection of each sample.

The soils were sandy from approximately 0.0-0.3m bgl grading to clay then heavy clays.

1.4 Scope of Work

The scope of work for this limited Phase II ESA was developed in accordance with established industry practices and the Canadian Standards Association (CSA) Standard Z768-01:2003

(reaffirmed 2012), CSA document *Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Z769-00 (R2018) (CSA, 2000) and Alberta Tier1 Soil and Groundwater Remediation Guidelines (January 1, 2023).* The work included the following (where available and/or reasonably available or valuable to the assessment):

- Visual reconnaissance of the subject property and the surrounding area;
- Interviews with available individuals with knowledge of the subject property;
- Documentation of the history of property development;
- Review of available historical records, including city directories, aerial photographs, historical maps, and Fire Insurance Plans (FIPs) for the subject property (where readily available and/or considered valuable to the assessment);
- Review of available municipal, provincial and federal records to identify documented environmental conditions associated with the subject property;
- > Review of topographic, soil, geological and land use maps as considered appropriate;
- > Search of publicly available environmental databases;
- Database search of ESAR Databases.
- Preparation of this report containing relevant data, observations, findings, conclusions and recommendations.
- Drilling 8 boreholes with soil sampling from 1 borehole turned into a monitoring well and one additional existing monitoring bore which was present on site being sampled.

<u>Note:</u>. Since neighboring properties may affect or be affected by the property being assessed, the site history also included these adjoining sites to the extent practical. More detailed information of the background is available in the Phase 1 ESA undertaken by Anderson Environmental Inc.

1.5 Qualifications of Personnel

Jason Anderson (P.Ag - Alberta Institute of Agrologists) has undertaken these assessments since 1992 and also has experience undertaking these assessments internationally in the USA and Australia. He is a Certified Environmental Site Assessor (CESA) – Phase I and Phase II according to AESAC's National Certification Program (RESA 17260).

2 Subject Property Characteristics

2.1 Subject Property Description

The site represents a storage yard which was used for many years as a storage yard for machinery and other items. The site location and location of the boreholes is shown below.



Figure 1: Site boundaries and locations of sampling sites

4. Results

The analysis indicated that the analytes tested were in excess of the (Fine) Alberta Tier1 Soil and Groundwater Remediation Guidelines (January 1, 2023) as below;

- S3 Benzene 0.055mg/kg (limit 0.046mg/kg), Ethylbenzene 0.088mg/kg (limit 0.073mg/kg);
- S5 Total Xylenes 2.56mg/kg (limit 0.99mg/kg), F2 (C10-C16) 4920mg/kg (limit 260mg/kg), F3 (C16-C34) 36000mg/kg (limit 2500mg/kg), F4 (C34-C50) 99400mg/kg (Limit 6600mg/kg);
- S8 Toluene 0.523mg/kg (limit 0.52mg/kg), Ethylbenzene 0.110mg/kg (limit 0.073mg/kg).

The groundwater monitoring well was drilled to 25 feet however despite moist soil no groundwater recharged into the well. As such a groundwater sample could not be obtained.

5. Findings and Conclusions

This scoped Phase II ESA conducted in accordance with the *Canadian Standards Association* (*CSA*) *Standard Z768-01:2003* (reaffirmed 2012) and *Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Z769-00* (*R2018*) (*CSA*, 2000) and Alberta Tier1 Soil and Groundwater Remediation Guidelines (*January 1, 2023*) <u>did detect</u> levels of contamination in exceedance of the Tier 1 guidelines. Additional delineation laterally and vertically will be required to determine the full extent and to guide the remediation of the site.

These results indicate contamination of the site at these locations by hydrocarbons potentially from spillage of oil and gasoline/diesel. Based on the staining on the surface which is present in some locations this is the most likely hypothesis. The soils were clayish material with the clay becoming more plastic with depth. The clay would likely form a confining layer to the movement of hydrocarbons and no staining was encountered in any of the boreholes beyond approximately 0.5m bgl. Soil samples were taken as a composite sample of material from 0.0-0.5m bgl.

The site will require further delineation and removal of the contaminated material. This would be best undertaken with an excavator with confirmation sampling to ensure all the material has been removed. Visual staining is present however it is recommended that an excavator be used to dig trenches in a grid fashion to determine any further contamination for removal. Validation sampling to ensure removal and landfill classification laboratory testing would be required. It is likely that any contaminated material from hydrocarbons is only to a depth of approximately 0.5 metres.

6. Limitations of Liability

To achieve the study objectives stated in this report, we were required to base Anderson Environmental report conclusions and recommendations on the best information available during the period the investigation was conducted and within the limits prescribed by Anderson Environmental client in the contract/authorization agreement and standard terms and conditions. Anderson Environmental professional services were performed using that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised by environmental consultants practicing in this or similar fields. The findings were mainly based upon examination of historic records, maps, aerial photographs, and governmental agencies lists.

The hazardous waste site lists represented in this report represent only a search of the specific government records as listed in the reference list. It should be noted that governmental agencies often do not list all sites with environmental contamination; the lists could be inaccurate and/or incomplete and as such this is outside our control or responsibility. Recommendations are based on the historic land use of the subject property, as well as features noted during the subject property walk and through the variety of records examined. The absence of potential gross contamination sources, historic or present, does not necessarily imply that the subject property is free of any contamination. This report only represents a "due diligence" effort as to the integrity of the subject property. No warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional conclusions or recommendations contained in this report. The limitations contained within this report supersede all other contracts or scopes of work, implied or otherwise, except those stated or acknowledged herewith.

The staff of Anderson Environmental, in accordance with generally accepted professional practices, has prepared the findings, conclusions, recommendations, and professional opinions contained in this report. This report does not address, in any way, septic systems, leach fields, septic tanks, or related health hazards.

This report was prepared for the sole and exclusive use of the client and is not for the use or benefit of, nor may it be relied upon by, any other person, third party, or entity for any purpose without the advance written consent of Anderson Environmental and the client. Any use by any party other than the client is strictly prohibited. Anderson Environmental makes no representation to any third party except that it has used the degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised by a reasonable prudent environmental professional in the same community and in the same time frame given the same or similar facts and circumstances. No other use or disclosure is intended or authorized by Anderson Environmental. In the preparation of this ESA, Anderson Environmental has used the degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised by a reasonably prudent environmental professional in the same community and in the same time frame given the same community and in the same community and in the same time frame given the same

7. References

Alberta Tier1 Soil and Groundwater Remediation Guidelines (January 1, 2023).

Canadian Standards Association Phase I ESA Standard CSA Z768-01

Canadian Standards Association Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Z769-00 (R2018) (CSA, 2000).

8. Appendix A: Subject property Photographs



Photo 1: Soil Staining with what appears to be oil



Photo 2: BH3 – Monitoring Well

9. Appendix B: Insurances



DECLADATIONS	
DECLARATIONS	

POLICY NUMBER:	PSL0839669701
UNIQUE MARKET REFERENCES:	B087522C9N5051 B087522C9N5053
THE INSURED:	Anderson Environmental Inc
ADDRESS:	#205,259 Midpark Way S.E Calgary, AB T2X 1M2 Canada
THE UNDERWRITERS:	Underwritten by certain Lloyd's underwriters and other insurers
NAME OF LICENSED CANADIAN INTERMEDIARY:	Paisley Partners Inc
THE INCEPTION DATE:	00:01 Local Standard Time on 11 Jun 2023
THE EXPIRY DATE:	00:01 Local Standard Time on 11 Jun 2024
TOTAL PAYABLE:	CAD4,240.00
Broken down as follows:	
Premium:	CAD3,854.00
Policy Administration Fee:	CAD386.00
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES:	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT
LEGAL ACTION:	Worldwide
TERRITORIAL SCOPE:	Worldwide
RETROACTIVE DATE(S):	
Professional Liability:	11 Jun 2015
General Liability:	11 Jun 2015, in respect of INSURING CLAUSE 3 (SECTION G only)
OPTIONAL EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD:	12 months for 100% of applicable annualized premium
CLAIMS MANAGER:	CFC Underwriting Limited Please report all new claims to: <u>newclaims@cfc.com</u>
WORDING:	Professions (CA) v2.2
ENDORSEMENTS:	Regulatory Statement (CAN) Non-Owned and Hired Automobile Clause Additional Insured Clause (Specified Third Party) Service of Suit Clause Sub-Contractors Condition Precedent (Professional Liability and General Liability) Unauthorised Funds Transfer Exclusion Clause



DECLARATIONS

INSURING CLAUSE 1: PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY		
ALL SECTIONS COMBINED		
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	in the aggregate, including costs and expenses
SECTION A: ERRORS AND ON	MISSIONS	
Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
Deductible:	CAD5,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
SECTION B: BREACH OF COM	NTRACT	
Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
Deductible:	CAD5,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
SECTION C: SUB-CONTRACT	OR VICARIOUS LIABILITY	(
Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
Deductible:	CAD5,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
SECTION D: CONTINGENT BO	DDILY INJURY AND PRO	PERTY DAMAGE LIABILITY
Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
Deductible:	CAD5,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
SECTION E: INTELLECTUAL P	ROPERTY RIGHTS INFRI	NGEMENT
Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
Deductible:	CAD5,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
SECTION F: POLLUTION LIAE	BILITY	
Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
Deductible:	CAD5,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
SECTION G: REGULATORY CO	OSTS AND FINES	
Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
Deductible:	CAD5,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses



SECTION H: DISHONESTY OF EMPLOYEES

Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000		
Deductible:	CAD5,000		

SECTION I: PAYMENT OF WITHHELD FEES

Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
Deductible:	CAD5,000	each and every claim, including costs and expenses

expenses

expenses

each and every claim, including costs and

each and every claim, including costs and

INSURING CLAUSE 2: CYBER AND PRIVACY

NO COVER GIVEN

INSURING CLAUSE 3: COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY

SECTION A: BODILY INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE LIABILITY

Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	each and every claim, costs and expenses in addition
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
SECTION B: PRODUCTS AND	COMPLETED OPERATIO	ONS LIABILITY
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	in the aggregate, costs and expenses in addition
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
SECTION C: TENANTS' LEGAL	LIABILITY	
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD500,000	in the aggregate, including costs and expenses
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
SECTION D: PERSONAL AND	ADVERTISING INJURY	
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	in the aggregate, costs and expenses in addition
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses
SECTION E: EMPLOYERS' LIA	BILITY	
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	in the aggregate, costs and expenses in addition
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses



SECTION F: MEDICAL EXPENSES

Limit of liability:	CAD5,000	each and every claim	
Deductible:	CADO	each and every claim, including costs and expenses	
SECTION G: EMPLOYEE BEN	EFITS LIABILITY		
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	in the aggregate	
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses	
INSURING CLAUSE 4: CON	MERCIAL PROPERTY		
NO COVER GIVEN			
INSURING CLAUSE 5: BUS	INESS INTERRUPTION	I	
NO COVER GIVEN			
INSURING CLAUSE 6: LEG	AL EXPENSES		
NO COVER GIVEN			
INSURING CLAUSE 7: DIRE	ECTORS AND OFFICE	RS LIABILITY	
NO COVER GIVEN			
INSURING CLAUSE 8: LOS	S MITIGATION		
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	in the aggregate	
Deductible:	CAD5,000	each and every claim	
INSURING CLAUSE 9: COU	JRT ATTENDANCE CO	STS	
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD100,000	in the aggregate	
Deductible:	CADO	each and every claim	
INSURING CLAUSE 10: REPUTATION AND BRAND PROTECTION			
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD100,000	in the aggregate	
Deductible:	CADO	each and every claim	



INSURING CLAUSE 2: CYBER EVENT COSTS

NO COVER GIVEN

INSURING CLAUSE 3: COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY			
SECTION A: BODILY INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE LIABILITY			
Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	each and every claim, costs and expenses in addition	
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses	
SECTION B: PRODUCTS AND COMPLET	TED OPERATIONS LIABILI	TY	
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	costs and expenses in addition	
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses	
SECTION C: TENANTS' LEGAL LIABILITY	/		
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD500,000	costs and expenses in addition	
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses	
SECTION D: PERSONAL AND ADVERTIS	SING INJURY		
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	costs and expenses in addition	
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses	
SECTION E: EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY			
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	costs and expenses in addition	
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses	
SECTION F: MEDICAL EXPENSES			
Limit of liability:	CAD5,000	each and every claim	
Deductible:	CADO	each and every claim	
SECTION G: EMPLOYEE BENEFITS LIAE	BILITY		
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	including costs and expenses	
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses	
S.P.F. NO. 6 STANDARD NON-OWNED	AUTOMOBILE POLICY		
Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	each and every claim, costs and expenses in addition	
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses	
S.E.F. NO. 94 LEGAL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO HIRED AUTOMOBILE FORM			
Limit of liability:	CAD50,000	each and every claim, costs and expenses in addition	
Deductible:	CAD500	each and every claim, including costs and expenses	

Unique Market Reference No. B087520C9N5051, B087520C9N5053 © 1999-2019 CFC Underwriting Ltd, All Rights Reserved

© Anderson Environmental Inc – Document 2189 – Phase II Environmental Site Assessment – 20421, 113 Avenue, NW Edmonton

<pre> cfc </pre>		
INSURING CLAUSE 4: COMMERCIAL NO COVER GIVEN	PROPERTY	
INSURING CLAUSE 5: BUSINESS INTE NO COVER GIVEN	ERRUPTION	
INSURING CLAUSE 6: LEGAL EXPENS NO COVER GIVEN	SES	
INSURING CLAUSE 7: DIRECTORS AN NO COVER GIVEN	ND OFFICERS LIABILITY	
INSURING CLAUSE 8: LOSS MITIGATI	ON	
Limit of liability:	CAD2,000,000	each and every claim
Deductible: CAD0		each and every claim
INSURING CLAUSE 9: COURT ATTEN	DANCE COSTS	
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD100,000	sub-limited to CAD2,000 per day
Deductible:	CADO	each and every claim
INSURING CLAUSE 10: REPUTATION	AND BRAND PROTECTION	I
Aggregate limit of liability:	CAD100,000	
Deductible:	CADO	each and every claim

10.Appendix C: Borehole Logs

All boreholes were to 5ft except for the monitoring well. The boreholes have been previously described and were consistent across the site.

11.Appendix D: Definitions and Acronyms

ABC - Ambient background concentration

 $\label{eq:ACM-Asbestos-containing-material} \textbf{ACM} - \textbf{Asbestos-containing-material}$

AEC - Area of Environmental Concern

 $\boldsymbol{AEC}-Area \ of \ Environmental \ concern$

 ${\bf AF}$ - Asbestos fines

 $\textbf{ASS}-Acid \ Sulphate \ soil$

AST- Aboveground storage tank

Background – Is the natural ambient concentrations of substances in the general site area. **Bonded ACM** – Bonded asbestos-containing materials

BTEX - benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, total xylenes (monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbons)

 C_{10} - C_{14} - Medium hydrocarbon chain groups

 C_{10} - C_{36} - Medium and heavy hydrocarbon chain groups

C₁₅–C₂₈ - Heavy hydrocarbon chain groups

C29-C36 - Heavy hydrocarbon chain groups

C₆–C₉ - Light hydrocarbon chain groups

CMP - Contaminant Management Plan

COC – Chain of custody

DQI – Data Quality Indicator

DQO – Data Quality Objective

EIL - Ecological investigation levels, which are the parameter thresholds for based on an environmental context.

EPA – Environmental Protection Authority

ESA - Environmental site assessment

Fill material - sand gravel clay ash and general building rubbish

HAZMAT – Hazardous Materials

m BGL - Metres below ground level

m BTOC - Metres below top of casing

OCP - Organochlorine pesticides

OPP - Organophosphate pesticides

PAH - polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon

PCB - polychlorinated biphenyls

SAQP- Sampling and analysis quality Plan

SMF - Synthetic Material Fibres

SWL - Standing water level

TDS-Total Dissolved Solids

TPH - Total petroleum hydrocarbons

TRH - Total recoverable hydrocarbons

UPSS - Underground Petroleum Storage System

UST - Underground storage tank

VOC – Volatile Organic Compounds

12.Soils Analysis Results



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client: Anderson Environmental 259 Midpark Way SE Calgary, AB T2X 1M2	Anderson Environmental 259 Midpark Way SE	KaizenLAB JOB #:	337314
	Calgary, AB T2X 1M2	DATE RECEIVED:	19-Apr-2024
		DATE REPORTED:	26-Apr-2024
Attention:	Jason Anderson	PROJECT ID:	
		LOCATION:	

Parameter Description	Units	Result	Detection Limit
CCME Petroleum Hydrocarbons in soil: BTEX/F1-F4 (C6-C50)			
BTEX in Soil			
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.005	0.005
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.010	0.010
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<0.010	0.010
Total Xylenes	mg/kg	<0.030	0.030
Volatile Hydrocarbons in soil			
F1 (C6-C10)	mg/kg	<5	5
Extractable Hydrocarbons in Soil			
Chromatogram descends to baseline at C50		Yes	
F2 (C10-C16)	mg/kg	<10	10
F3 (C16-C34)	mg/kg	19	10
F4 (C34-C50)	mg/kg	11	10
Moisture Content	%	22.6	0.1
Grain Size (0.075 mm sieve)			
Grain Size in Soil			
Grain size >0.075 mm	%	15.7	0.5
Grain size <0.075 mm	%	84.3	0.5
Texture (Fine/Coarse)		Fine	
Alberta Tier 1 Metals in Soil			
Boron (Saturated Paste)	mg/L	<1.00	1.00
Hexavalent Chromium	mg/kg	<0.1	0.1
Mercury	mg/kg	0.049	0.015
Metals in Soil by ICP-MS			
Antimony	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Arsenic	mg/kg	9.4	2.0
Barium	mg/kg	174	15.0
Beryllium	mg/kg	0.7	0.4



KaizenLAB Sample #: 337314_001 Sample ID: S1				
Date Sampled: 16-Apr-2024 12:31 Matrix: Soil	Depth:	0-50		
Parameter Description		Units	Result	Detection Limit
Cadmium		mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Chromium		mg/kg	20.7	2.0
Cobalt		mg/kg	9.7	0.5
Copper		mg/kg	23.6	2.0
Lead		mg/kg	11.6	1.0
Molybdenum		mg/kg	1.0	1.0
Nickel		mg/kg	30.2	2.0
Selenium		mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Silver		mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Thallium		mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Tin		mg/kg	4.7	2.0
Uranium		mg/kg	0.8	0.5
Vanadium		mg/kg	27.5	2.0
Zinc		mg/kg	76.4	10.0



KaizenLAB Sample #: 337314_002 Sample ID: S2			
Date Sampled: 16-Apr-2024 14:45 Matrix: Soil Dept	h: 0-50		
Parameter Description	Units	Result	Detection Limit
CCME Petroleum Hydrocarbons in soil: BTEX/F1-F4 (C6-C50)			
BTEX in Soil Benzene	ma/ka	<0.005	0.005
	mg/kg	<0.003 0.070	0.005
Ethylhenzene	mg/kg	0.079	0.010
	mg/kg	0.010	0.030
	iiig/kg	0.001	0.000
Volatile Hydrocarbons in soil	ma/ka	<5	5
	ing/kg	-0	5
Extractable Hydrocarbons in Soil		Vaa	
	malka	res	10
$F_2(C16, C34)$	mg/kg	<10	10
$E_{4}(C_{34}(C_{50}))$	mg/kg	<10	10
F4 (034-050)	iiig/kg	<10	10
Moisture Content	%	13.7	0.1
Alberta Tier 1 Metals in Soil			
Boron (Saturated Paste)	mg/L	<1.00	1.00
Hexavalent Chromium	mg/kg	<0.1	0.1
Mercury	mg/kg	0.056	0.015
Metals in Soil by ICP-MS			
Antimony	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Arsenic	mg/kg	10.4	2.0
Barium	mg/kg	190	15.0
Beryllium	mg/kg	0.6	0.4
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Chromium	mg/kg	20.3	2.0
Cobalt	mg/kg	9.4	0.5
Copper	mg/kg	22.5	2.0
Lead	mg/kg	12.3	1.0
Molybdenum	mg/kg	1	1.0
Nickel	mg/kg	26.8	2.0
Selenium	mg/kg	0.6	0.5
Silver	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Thallium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Tin	mg/kg	2.8	2.0
Uranium	mg/kg	0.8	0.5
Vanadium	mg/kg	25.9	2.0
Zinc	mg/kg	78.6	10.0



KaizenLAB Sample #: 337314_003 Sample ID: S3			
Date Sampled: 16-Apr-2024 15:00 Matrix: Soil Depth:	: 0-50		
Parameter Description	Units	Result	Detection Limit
CCME Petroleum Hydrocarbons in soil: BTEX/F1-F4 (C6-C50)			
BTEX in Soil Benzene	ma/ka	0.055	0.005
	mg/kg	0.000	0.000
Ethylhenzene	mg/kg	0.002	0.010
	mg/kg	0.339	0.030
Volatile Hydrocarbons in soil E1 (C6-C10)	ma/ka	<5	5
		••	
Extractable Hydrocarbons in Soil		Ves	
E2 (C10-C16)	ma/ka	<10	10
F3 (C16-C34)	mg/kg	11	10
E4 (C34-C50)	mg/kg	<10	10
Moisture Content	%	14.9	0.1
Alberta Tier 1 Metals in Soil			
Boron (Saturated Paste)	mg/L	<1.00	1.00
Hexavalent Chromium	mg/kg	<0.1	0.1
Mercury	mg/kg	0.064	0.015
Metals in Soil by ICP-MS			
Antimony	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Arsenic	mg/kg	11.3	2.0
Barium	mg/kg	185	15.0
Beryllium	mg/kg	0.5	0.4
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Chromium	mg/kg	14.2	2.0
Cobalt	mg/kg	9.7	0.5
Copper	mg/kg	22.3	2.0
Lead	mg/kg	11.6	1.0
Molybdenum	mg/kg	1.0	1.0
Nickel	mg/kg	27.3	2.0
Selenium	mg/kg	0.5	0.5
Silver	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Thallium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Tin	mg/kg	<2.0	2.0
Uranium	mg/kg	0.8	0.5
Vanadium	mg/kg	22.5	2.0
Zinc	mg/kg	102	10.0



KaizenLAB Sample #: 337314_004 Sample ID: S4			
Date Sampled: 16-Apr-2024 15:10 Matrix: Soil Depth	: 0-50		
Parameter Description	Units	Result	Detection Limit
CCME Petroleum Hydrocarbons in soil: BTEX/F1-F4 (C6-C50)			
BTEX in Soil Benzene	ma/ka	<0.005	0.005
	mg/kg	0.072	0.000
Ethylhenzene	mg/kg	0.072	0.010
	mg/kg	0.097	0.030
Volatile Hydrocarbons in soil E1 (C6-C10)	ma/ka	<5	5
		•••	
Extractable Hydrocarbons in Soil		Ves	
	ma/ka	<10	10
F3 (C16-C34)	mg/kg	<10	10
E4 (C34-C50)	mg/kg	<10	10
Moisture Content	%	22.2	0.1
Alberta Tier 1 Metals in Soil			
Boron (Saturated Paste)	mg/L	<1.00	1.00
Hexavalent Chromium	mg/kg	<0.1	0.1
Mercury	mg/kg	0.038	0.015
Metals in Soil by ICP-MS			
Antimony	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Arsenic	mg/kg	7.7	2.0
Barium	mg/kg	237	15.0
Beryllium	mg/kg	0.6	0.4
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Chromium	mg/kg	17.1	2.0
Cobalt	mg/kg	9.4	0.5
Copper	mg/kg	51.2	2.0
Lead	mg/kg	12.3	1.0
Molybdenum	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Nickel	mg/kg	23.7	2.0
Selenium	mg/kg	0.5	0.5
Silver	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Thallium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Tin	mg/kg	2.4	2.0
Uranium	mg/kg	0.7	0.5
Vanadium	mg/kg	23.2	2.0
Zinc	mg/kg	101	10.0



KaizenLAB Sample #: 337314_005 Sample ID: S5			
Date Sampled: 16-Apr-2024 15:22 Matrix: Soil De	pth: 0-50		
Parameter Description	Units	Result	Detection Limit
CCME Petroleum Hydrocarbons in soil: BTEX/F1-F4 (C6-C50)			
BTEX in Soil Benzene	ma/ka	<0.005	0.005
	mg/kg	0.118	0.005
Ethylkenzene	mg/kg	0.118	0.010
	mg/kg	2.56	0.010
	ingrkg	2.50	0.000
Volatile Hydrocarbons in soil	~~~// <i>c</i>	252	F
FT (CO-CTU)	тту/ку	232	с
Extractable Hydrocarbons in Soil		Ne	
Chromatogram descends to baseline at CSU		NO	10
F2 (C10-C16)	mg/kg	4920	10
F3 (C16-C34)	mg/kg	36000	10
F4 (C34-C50)	mg/kg	99400	10
Moisture Content	%	15.1	0.1
F4G - Gravimetric Heavy Hydrocarbons	mg/kg	165392	1,000
Alberta Tier 1 Metals in Soil			
Boron (Saturated Paste)	mg/L	<1.00	1.00
Hexavalent Chromium	mg/kg	<0.1	0.1
Mercury	mg/kg	0.047	0.015
Metals in Soil by ICP-MS			
Antimony	mg/kg	2.1	1.0
Arsenic	mg/kg	8.4	2.0
Barium	mg/kg	210	15.0
Beryllium	mg/kg	0.5	0.4
Cadmium	mg/kg	1.0	0.5
Chromium	mg/kg	21.6	2.0
Cobalt	mg/kg	10	0.5
Copper	mg/kg	45.8	2.0
Lead	mg/kg	27.4	1.0
Molybdenum	mg/kg	1.4	1.0
Nickel	mg/kg	25.5	2.0
Selenium	mg/kg	0.6	0.5
Silver	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Thallium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Tin	mg/kg	2.7	2.0
Uranium	mg/kg	0.6	0.5
Vanadium	mg/kg	22.3	2.0
Zinc	mg/kg	193	10.0



KaizenLAB Sample #: 337314_006 Sample ID: S6			
Date Sampled: 16-Apr-2024 15:28 Matrix: Soil Depth:	0-50		
Parameter Description	Units	Result	Detection Limit
CCME Petroleum Hydrocarbons in soil: BTEX/F1-F4 (C6-C50)			
BTEX in Soil Benzene	ma/ka	0.036	0.005
	mg/kg	0.185	0.000
Ethylhenzene	mg/kg	0.055	0.010
	mg/kg	0.256	0.030
Volatile Hydrocarbons in soil	ma/ka	<5	5
		••	
Extractable Hydrocarbons in Soil		Vec	
E2 (C10-C16)	ma/ka	<10	10
F3 (C16-C34)	mg/kg	<10	10
E4 (C34-C50)	mg/kg	<10	10
Moisture Content	%	18.8	0.1
Alberta Tier 1 Metals in Soil			
Boron (Saturated Paste)	mg/L	<1.00	1.00
Hexavalent Chromium	mg/kg	<0.1	0.1
Mercury	mg/kg	0.072	0.015
Metals in Soil by ICP-MS			
Antimony	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Arsenic	mg/kg	11.1	2.0
Barium	mg/kg	144	15.0
Beryllium	mg/kg	0.5	0.4
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Chromium	mg/kg	17.4	2.0
Cobalt	mg/kg	9.6	0.5
Copper	mg/kg	22.0	2.0
Lead	mg/kg	11.2	1.0
Molybdenum	mg/kg	1.0	1.0
Nickel	mg/kg	26.8	2.0
Selenium	mg/kg	0.8	0.5
Silver	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Thallium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Tin	mg/kg	<2.0	2.0
Uranium	mg/kg	0.8	0.5
Vanadium	mg/kg	24.1	2.0
Zinc	mg/kg	69.0	10.0



KaizenLAB Sample #: 337314_007 Sample ID: S7			
Date Sampled: 16-Apr-2024 15:42 Matrix: Soil Depth	: 0-50		
Parameter Description	Units	Result	Detection Limit
CCME Petroleum Hydrocarbons in soil: BTEX/F1-F4 (C6-C50)			
BTEX in Soil Benzene	ma/ka	0.041	0.005
	mg/kg	0.347	0.000
Ethylhenzene	mg/kg	0.045	0.010
	mg/kg	0.221	0.030
Volatile Hydrocarbons in soil	ma/ka	<5	5
		••	
Extractable Hydrocarbons in Soil		Vec	
E2 (C10-C16)	ma/ka	<10	10
F3 (C16-C34)	mg/kg	<10	10
E4 (C34-C50)	mg/kg	<10	10
Moisture Content	%	13.8	0.1
Alberta Tier 1 Metals in Soil			
Boron (Saturated Paste)	mg/L	<1.00	1.00
Hexavalent Chromium	mg/kg	<0.1	0.1
Mercury	mg/kg	0.022	0.015
Metals in Soil by ICP-MS			
Antimony	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Arsenic	mg/kg	5.8	2.0
Barium	mg/kg	303	15.0
Beryllium	mg/kg	0.5	0.4
Cadmium	mg/kg	0.5	0.5
Chromium	mg/kg	13.6	2.0
Cobalt	mg/kg	7.8	0.5
Copper	mg/kg	14.4	2.0
Lead	mg/kg	11.5	1.0
Molybdenum	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Nickel	mg/kg	18.1	2.0
Selenium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Silver	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Thallium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Tin	mg/kg	<2.0	2.0
Uranium	mg/kg	0.5	0.5
Vanadium	mg/kg	19.5	2.0
Zinc	mg/kg	100	10.0



te Sampled: 16-Apr-2024 16:01 Matrix: Soil De	pth: 0-50		
Parameter Description	Units	Result	Detection Limit
CCME Petroleum Hydrocarbons in soil: BTEX/F1-F4 (C6-C50)			
BTEX in Soil			
Benzene	mg/kg	0.070	0.005
Toluene	mg/kg	0.523	0.010
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.110	0.010
Total Xylenes	mg/kg	0.515	0.030
Volatile Hydrocarbons in soil			
F1 (C6-C10)	mg/kg	<5	5
Extractable Hydrocarbons in Soil			
Chromatogram descends to baseline at C50		Yes	
F2 (C10-C16)	mg/kg	13	10
F3 (C16-C34)	mg/kg	261	10
F4 (C34-C50)	mg/kg	126	10
Moisture Content	%	17.5	0.1
Alberta Tier 1 Metals in Soil			
Boron (Saturated Paste)	mg/L	<1.00	1.00
Hexavalent Chromium	mg/kg	<0.1	0.1
Mercury	mg/kg	0.061	0.015
Metals in Soil by ICP-MS			
Antimony	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Arsenic	mg/kg	10.7	2.0
Barium	mg/kg	214	15.0
Beryllium	mg/kg	0.7	0.4
Cadmium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Chromium	mg/kg	28.4	2.0
Cobalt	mg/kg	10.5	0.5
Copper	mg/kg	26.4	2.0
Lead	mg/kg	13.3	1.0
Molybdenum	mg/kg	1.0	1.0
Nickel	mg/kg	28.3	2.0
Selenium	mg/kg	0.8	0.5
Silver	mg/kg	<1.0	1.0
Thallium	mg/kg	<0.5	0.5
Tin	mg/kg	<2.0	2.0
Uranium	mg/kg	1	0.5
Vanadium	mg/kg	32.8	2.0
Zinc	mg/kg	97.1	10.0



If both F4 and F4G have been reported, use the higher of the two results for interpretation of the CCME Canada-Wide Standard for Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil Tier 1 approach. The F4G result shall not be added to the F4 result. The quality control criteria stipulated in sections 10.1, 10.5, 11.1 and 11.5 of the CCME Canada-Wide Standard for Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil has been met for related data listed in this report.

Test Methodologies

- Boron in Soil by ICP-OES: Modified from Soil Sampling & Methods of Analysis, M.R. Carter, 2008 and SM 3120 B
- BTEX in Soil: Modified from EPA 8260D, EPA 5030C/EPA 5021A, and Canada-wide Method for Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil, CCME 2001
- Grain Size in Soil: Modified from Soil Sampling & Methods of Analysis, M.R. Carter, 2008
- Hexavalent Chromium in Soil: Modified from EPA 3060A, EPA 218.7, and USGS Evaluation of Extraction Methods fo Cr(VI) in Soils, 2010
- Mercury in Soil: Modified from EPA 3050B and EPA 1631 Revision E
- Metals in Soil by ICP-MS: Modified from EPA 3050B and SM 3125 B
- Moisture Content in Soil: Modified from Canada-wide Method for Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil, CCME 2001
- Semi-Volatile Hydrocarbons in Soil: Canada-wide Method for Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil, CCME 2001
- Volatile Hydrocarbons in Soil: Canada-wide Method for Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil, CCME 2001

Final Review by:

Christina Daguio Client Services Administrator

Note: The results in this report relate only to the items tested and as received. Information is available for any items in 7.8.2.1 of ISO/IEC 17025:2017 that cannot be put on a test report. The report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of KaizenLAB. The validity of results may be affected if the information is provided by the customer.

Test methodologies are accredited in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025 via CALA, unless otherwise specified in the description of the methods. ¹This analyte is not accredited, even though analyzed by an accredited methodology.



QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

Client:	Anderson Environmental		KaizenLA	KaizenLAB JOB #:		337314		
Attention:	ttention: Jason Anderson			PROJEC	T:			
				LOCATIC	DN:			
				DATE RE	PORTED:	26-Apr-2024	1	
		Method Blank	Calibra Verifica Stand %Recov	tion ation ard ^{rery}	Labora Cont Sam %Recc	atory trol ple	Duplicat Matrix S Duplica Rel. % D	e or pike ate
Test: QC Batch #: Date:	Mercury in Soil by CVAF BS_THG_LL_240424_01 24-Apr-2024							
Mercury		<0.015 mg/kg	101	Pass	105	Pass	10	Pass
Test: QC Batch #: Date:	Metals in Soil by ICP-MS BS_METALMS_240423_01 23-Apr-2024							
Antimony		<1.0 mg/kg	92	Pass	N/A-NC	-	12	Pass
Arsenic		<2.0 mg/kg	97	Pass	97	Pass	9	Pass
Barium		<15.0 mg/kg	97	Pass	97	Pass	5	Pass
Beryllium		<0.4 mg/kg	95	Pass	97	Pass	N/A-NC	-
Cadmium		<0.5 mg/kg	99	Pass	102	Pass	N/A-NC	-
Chromium		<2.0 mg/kg	97	Pass	100	Pass	3	Pass
Cobalt		<0.5 mg/kg	98	Pass	106	Pass	9	Pass
Copper		<2.0 mg/kg	97	Pass	100	Pass	10	Pass
Lead		<1.0 mg/kg	100	Pass	89	Pass	7	Pass
Molybdenum		<1.0 mg/kg	95	Pass	104	Pass	N/A-NC	-
Nickel		<2.0 mg/kg	97	Pass	102	Pass	5	Pass
Selenium		<0.5 mg/kg	98	Pass	100	Pass	N/A-NC	-
Silver		<1.0 mg/kg	99	Pass	103	Pass	N/A-NC	-
Thallium		<0.5 mg/kg	102	Pass	N/A-NC	-	N/A-NC	-
Tin		<2.0 mg/kg	105	Pass	111	Pass	N/A-NC	-
Uranium		<0.5 mg/kg	96	Pass	N/A-NC	-	N/A-NC	-
Vanadium		<2.0 mg/kg	97	Pass	93	Pass	4	Pass
Zinc		<10.0 mg/kg	99	Pass	98	Pass	3	Pass
Test: QC Batch #: Date:	Hexavalent Chromium in S BS_HEX_CR_240425_01 25-Apr-2024	Soil by IC						
Hexavalent Chromit	um	<0.1 ug/L	92	Pass	76	Pass	N/A-NC	-

N/A-NC: Not Applicable-Not Calculated: Result does not apply to this test or the difference between duplicate and its parent sample is not significant to perform a calculation (results are too close to the detection limit)



		Method	Calibra Verifica Stand	ation ation ard	Labo Cor San	ratory itrol iple	Matrix S Duplica	te or Spike ate
		Blank	%Recov	/ery	%Rec	overy	Rel. % D	Diff.
Test: QC Batch #: Date:	Grain Size in Soil BS_GRAIN_240422_01 22-Apr-2024	Blank %Recovery %Recovery ain Size in Soil _GRAIN_240422_01 Apr-2024						
Percent Passed		N/A	N/A-NC	-	100	Pass	1	Pass
Percent Retained		N/A	N/A-NC	-	95	Pass	1	Pass
Test: QC Batch #: Date:	F4G - Gravimetric Heavy BS_F4G_240424_01 24-Apr-2024	Hydrocarbons						
F4G		<1000 mg/kg	96	Pass	96	Pass	2	Pass
Test: QC Batch #: Date:	Extractable Hydrocarbor BS_F2F4_240424_05 24-Apr-2024	ıs in Soil						
F2 (C10-C16)		<10 mg/kg	110	Pass	106	Pass	N/A-NC	-
F3 (C16-C34)		<10 mg/kg	112	Pass	93	Pass	12	Pass
F4 (C34-C50)		<10 mg/kg	119	Pass	118	Pass	19	Pass
Test: QC Batch #: Date:	Volatile Hydrocarbons in BS_F1_240424_02 24-Apr-2024	Soil						
F1 (C6-C10)		<5 mg/kg	90	Pass	105	Pass	N/A-NC	-
Test: QC Batch #: Date:	BTEX in Soil BS_BTEX_240424_03 24-Apr-2024							
Benzene		<0.005 mg/kg	108	Pass	80	Pass	N/A-NC	-
Ethylbenzene		<0.010 mg/kg	99	Pass	80	Pass	N/A-NC	-
m,p-Xylenes		<0.020 mg/kg	96	Pass	78	Pass	N/A-NC	-
o-Xylene		<0.010 mg/kg	94	Pass	71	Pass	N/A-NC	-
Toluene		<0.010 mg/kg	110	Pass	86	Pass	N/A-NC	-
Test: QC Batch #: Date:	Boron in Soil, Saturated BS_BORONSA_240420_ 20-Apr-2024	Paste Extraction, by IC 02	P-OES					
Soluble Boron		<1.00 mg/L	104	Pass	81	Pass	N/A-NC	-

N/A-NC: Not Applicable-Not Calculated: Result does not apply to this test or the difference between duplicate and its parent sample is not significant to perform a calculation (results are too close to the detection limit)

KaizenLAB

Final Review by:

Christina Daguio Client Services Administrator

Note: The results in this report relate only to the items tested and as received. Information is available for any items in 7.8.2.1 of ISO/IEC 17025:2017 that cannot be put on a test report. The report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of KaizenLAB. The validity of results may be affected if the information is provided by the customer.

Test methodologies are accredited in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025 via CALA, unless otherwise specified in the description of the methods .

¹This analyte is not accredited, even though analyzed by an accredited methodology.

N/A-NC: Not Applicable-Not Calculated: Result does not apply to this test or the difference between duplicate and its parent sample is not significant to perform a calculation (results are too close to the detection limit)

Stervice Recurstering RADIAL Cardin REPORT CONTACT INVOICE CONTACT PROJECT DETAILS vide Surcharge TAT	E Kaiz	enLAB	333 -50 Avenue S Phone: (403) 297 e-mail: kaizencsr	E, Calgary, Alberta T2G 2B -0699 Fax: (403) 297-0869 @kaizenlab.ca	3	CHAIN OF KaizenLAB	Job #:	337314	
Ort Date France all (40) 815-3815 (all dataset all all all all all all all all all al	SERVICE REQU rvice Surchar gular None RUSH TAT sh 50% ority 100% tergency 200%	ESTED (3PM Cut off) ge TAT *Business days 4 days [(3PM Cut off) 2 - 3 days [Next day] Weekend/Holiday] Same day	Company: Aways Contact: Jaso Emails: Jaso Address:	n Enviro	Company: Email: Address:	VOICE CONTACT	Project ID:	PROJECT DETAILS	
SPIGEC Time:	Guideline Guideline AB Tier 1 BC CCME MB	Client Rel. by:	Phone: 40 3 Rec. by: Depot Date:	Rec. by: 75 /	Phone:	hab	ANALYSIS RE		CTIONS
SAMPLE DENTIFICATION DEPTH (DDMM/YYY) SAMPLED (SOIL WATER) Control WATER) SI SH 6-50 1/4 2024 1213/ Soil X X Image: Soil of	SPIGEC Drinking Water D50 Other	Time: Additional Notes:	Time:	Time: 2:53 (LAB USE ONI Temp: <u>ISO</u> PLED TIME	BTEX F GVOR	AB Tiel		HOLD ANALY	SPECIAL INSTRU
			0-50 144 0-50 144 0-50 14 0-50 1 0-50 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	1997) SAMPLED (30) 2024/213/ 5 2:45 5 3:00 - 3:10 5 3:22 5 3:25 5 3:45 5 3:25 5 3:25 5 3:25 5 3:25 5 3:25 5 3:25 5 3:45		XXXXXXXX			

Fill dut chain of custody completely to avoid processing delays. Gray fields are for lab use only.